

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 -Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,005	01/07/2004	Soo-Young Oh	0630-1906P	3627
	7590 04/23/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1746	
	·	·		•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
. 3 MO	NTHS	04/23/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/23/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

_
_
-

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/752,005	ОН					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2007.	•					
	action is non-final.						
	ice this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-23 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7,8,12,13,16-18,21 and 23 is/are rejection of the company of the co	vn from consideration. eted. d to.	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1/1/04 is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original origina	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e					

Application/Control Number: 10/752,005

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 7, 12, 13, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan'995 (Japan 61-128995) in view of either Ornas (U. S. Pat. No. 2,395,968 or Japan'Japan'208 (Japan 1-169208).

Re claims 7, 12 and 18, Japan'995 is cited disclosing a steam/vapor generator in washing machine comprising:

a case, an exhaust pipe and a heater located within the case that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the water level detector. Japan'208 (see fig. 5) and Ornas (as at 43). It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Japan'995, to include a water level detector as taught by either Japan'208 or Ornas, for the purpose of ensuring sufficient water for steaming/vaporizing thereby precluding any damage to the system. As for the mounting bracket, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the mounting means as claimed and the corresponding mounting structure in Ornas. Also note the plurality of rods in Japan'208.

3. Claims 16, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claim 7, 12 and 18 above, and further in view of either Loniello (U. S. Pat. No. 4,879,902) or Calabrese (U. S. pat. No. 2,470,066).

Application/Control Number: 10/752,005

Art Unit: 1746

Claims 16, 17 and 23 define over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the three rods. Calabrese and Loniello each disclose the rods as claimed. it therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement of Japan'995, as proposedly modified, to include a sensor as taught by either Calabrese or Loniello, since the same is considered to be a mere substitution of equivalents.

- 4. Claims 9-11, 14, 15, 19, 20 and 22 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 stand allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1746

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746